

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virgini	a 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,900	08/11/2004	Joe E. Flis	FLISP003US 7959 EXAMINER	
27949	7590 07/13/2005			
LAW OFFICE OF JAY R. YABLON 910 NORTHUMBERLAND DRIVE SCHENECTADY, NY 12309-2814		RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Åpplicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/710,9	00	FLIS, JOE E.				
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit			
_		William A		3654			
TI Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)□ Res	sponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .					
· <u>—</u>		2b) This action is r	ion-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application I	Papers						
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)□ The	drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)	Objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Find Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or S) Mail Date		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "Pressure" for securing said side ends is provided by said paper retention caps.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "pressure for securing said side ends..." as set forth in Claim 1, lines 6-7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be

Art Unit: 3654

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite. On lines 8-9, the phrase "no additional material is secured about said paper retention caps to provide said pressure" is unclear. What structure of the paper roll apparatus is being claimed by this limitation?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kewin (U.S. Patent No. 4,874,139) in view of Taylor et al (U.S. Patent No. 1,716,249) and Traver (U.S. Patent No. 2,783,878).

With respect to Claims 1-6, Kewin, Figures 1-2, teaches a paper roll apparatus comprising a paper-winding core 12 comprising a paper-winding region. Taylor et al, Figures 1-8, teach a pair of paper retention caps 13 each comprising a securing lip for securing side ends of a paper roll wound about said paper winding region; at least one of said paper retention caps slides oven an outer end of said paper winding core; at least one of said paper retention caps

Art Unit: 3654

slides over an outer end of said paper winding core and is retained by said paper winding core with its securing lip securing said side ends of said paper roll; said paper roll wound around said paper winding region, with said side ends of said paper roll secured by said securing lips.

Traver, Figures 1-4, teaches pressure for securing said side ends provided by caps 13,14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Kewin with a pair of retention caps, as taught by Taylor et al, for the purpose of protecting the rolls while they are being transported thereby minimizing chaffing. It would have further been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Kewin in view of Taylor with "pressure", as taught by Traver, for the purpose of eliminating the number of elements necessary to secure the caps.

With respect to Claims 7-9, the method described in these claims would inherently result from the use of paper roll apparatus of Kewin in view of Taylor et al and Traver as advanced above.

Conclusion

The new grounds of rejection were necessitated by applicant's amendment, e.g., the requirement for "pressure for securing...", Claim 1, lines 6-9.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/710,900 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is 571-272-6953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 7:30 to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 8, 2005